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Abstract:

Description: Calcium is the dominant mineral present in the bone and a shortfall nutrient in the American diet. Individuals who do not consume adequate calcium from the diet have been recommended supplements as a standard strategy for the prevention of osteoporosis and related fractures. Since publication of the 2009 Evidence Report provided by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Evidence-based Practice Center at Tufts University, concern has arisen as to whether calcium intake is beneficial or detrimental to vascular health.

Methods: The National Osteoporosis Foundation and American Society for Preventive Cardiology convened an Expert Panel to evaluate the effect of calcium from food and supplements based on the existing peer-reviewed scientific literature. The Expert Panel considered the findings of the accompanying updated Evidence Report provided by an independent Evidence Review Team at Tufts University, as well as animal and mechanistic data provided in the peer-reviewed literature.

Recommendation: NOF and ASPC adopt the position that at this time there is D-level or “insufficient” evidence to suggest dietary calcium intakes from food or supplements have any influence (beneficial or detrimental impact) on the risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and CVD-specific or all-cause mortality. Dietary intakes from food and supplements that do not result in an individual exceeding the tolerable upper intake level (UL), as defined by the National Academy of Medicine, should be considered safe.
Rationale:

Calcium is the dominant mineral present in the bone and a shortfall nutrient in the American diet (1). Individuals who do not consume adequate calcium from the diet have been recommended supplements as a standard strategy for the prevention of osteoporosis and related fractures. The U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Evidence-based Practice Center at Tufts University published an Evidence Report reviewing the existing data on both vitamin D and calcium on health outcomes, including cardiovascular disease in 2009 (2). Since this time a small number of reports have suggested calcium intake, particularly from supplementation, to have both beneficial and detrimental affects on several cardiovascular outcomes and endpoints. The National Osteoporosis Foundation (NOF) contracted independent Evidence Review Team at Tufts University to update the 2009 AHRQ Evidence Report in regards to cardiovascular disease outcomes and endpoints. The updated Evidence Report informed the Expert Panel assembled by the NOF and American Society for Preventive Cardiology (ASPC). The Expert Panel was ultimately responsible for authoring the position of the two organizations.

Position Statement Focus:

The focus of this position statement is to provide clinicians and health professionals with an evidence-based recommendation around the health risks and benefits of dietary calcium intakes from food or supplements on hypertension, blood pressure, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and CVD-specific or all-cause mortality.

Development Process:

NOF, ASPC and all individuals (including staff) involved in the development of either the Evidence Report or Position Statement have signed conflict of interest disclosures and adhered
to all methods and processes for developing Position Statements as previously published by the NOF (3). The Evidence Report and Position Statement have been subject to a 14-day public comment period.

**Evidence Review and Grading:**

The Evidence Review Team at Tufts University presented the Evidence Report to Expert Panel members, who were able to ask questions specific to the Evidence Report, but not influence the final study design or outcomes. Additional animal and mechanistic data, as well as comments submitted by the general public were considered in the development of the final Position Statement. NOF and ASPC utilized the evidence grading criteria utilized by NOF for position statements, as previously published (3).

**Recommendations:**

It is the current position of the National Osteoporosis Foundation and American Society for Preventive Cardiology that there is D-level or “inadequate” evidence to suggest dietary calcium intakes from food or supplements have any influence on the risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and CVD-specific or all-cause mortality. Dietary intakes from food and supplements that do not result in an individual exceeding the tolerable upper intake level (UL), as defined by the National Academy of Medicine, should be considered safe. Discontinuation of supplemental calcium is not necessary and may be detrimental to bone if intake from food is suboptimal. This position statement is based on the peer-reviewed scientific literature as of May 1, 2016 and supports the findings of the accompanying Evidence Report provided by independently contracted researchers at Tufts University. Aside from the Evidence Report provided by Tufts University (2), the Expert Panel also considered a recent
animal/mechanistic study, which found no detectable effect of high calcium diets (from dairy or calcium carbonate) on coronary artery deposition in swine with diet-induced metabolic syndrome (4). This official position statement has been adopted by the Boards of Directors of both societies as of XX XX, 2016.
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