The Recent Prevalence of Osteoporosis and Low Bone Mass in the United States Based on Bone Mineral Density at the Femoral Neck or Lumbar Spine
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ABSTRACT

The goal of our study was to estimate the prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass based on bone mineral density (BMD) at the femoral neck and the lumbar spine in adults 50 years and older in the United States (US). We applied prevalence estimates of osteoporosis or low bone mass at the femoral neck or lumbar spine (adjusted by age, sex, and race/ethnicity to the 2010 Census) for the noninstitutionalized population aged 50 years and older from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005–2010 to 2010 US Census population counts to determine the total number of older US residents with osteoporosis and low bone mass. There were more than 99 million adults aged 50 years and older in the US in 2010. Based on an overall 10.3% prevalence of osteoporosis, we estimated that in 2010, 10.2 million older adults had osteoporosis. The overall low bone mass prevalence was 43.9%, from which we estimated that 43.4 million older adults had low bone mass. We estimated that 7.7 million non-Hispanic white, 0.5 million non-Hispanic black, and 0.6 million Mexican American adults had osteoporosis, and another 33.8, 2.9, and 2.0 million had low bone mass, respectively. When combined, osteoporosis and low bone mass at the femoral neck or lumbar spine affected an estimated 53.6 million older US adults in 2010. Although most of the individuals with osteoporosis or low bone mass were non-Hispanic white women, a substantial number of men and women from other racial/ethnic groups also had osteoporotic BMD or low bone mass. © 2014 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.
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Introduction

In 2002, the National Osteoporosis Foundation (NOF) reported the current and future projected number of adults aged 50 years and older with osteoporosis and low bone mass (previously called osteopenia) in the United States (US).1 The estimates were based upon bone mineral density (BMD) at the femoral neck measured in the population-based data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)–III (1988–1994). The report indicated that approximately 10 million US adults aged 50 years and older had osteoporosis and an additional 33 million had low bone mass.1 These estimates were based on femoral neck BMD only because this was the only skeletal site for which nationally representative BMD data were available from NHANES.

Since that time, multiple US and international organizations have developed new clinical treatment guidelines that may be used for determining the overall prevalence of osteoporosis. For example, the NOF recommends that clinicians consider BMD at both the femoral neck and the lumbar spine to define osteoporosis or low bone mass.2 Other groups such as the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis and the World Health Organization have recommended that population estimates of osteoporosis and low bone mass be based only on the femoral neck.3,4 Adding to this variability, the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) states that femoral neck, lumbar spine, and total hip BMD can be used to diagnose osteoporosis and low bone mass.5

Starting in 2005, BMD was measured at both the proximal femur and the lumbar spine in NHANES, providing us the opportunity to conduct a comprehensive updated US evaluation of osteoporosis and low bone mass based on bone mass at more than one site. In this study, we estimate the recent and future
number of adults aged 50 years and older with osteoporosis and low bone mass in the US based on the femoral neck and lumbar spine. We conducted sensitivity analyses to calculate the number with osteoporosis and low bone mass at the femoral neck only in light of the alternative recommendations noted above and to facilitate comparison with results from the 2002 NOF report. We also examined the impact of including the total femur region of interest on prevalence estimates in light of the recommendation from ISCD.

Materials and Methods

Estimating osteoporosis and low bone mass prevalence in NHANES

The prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the noninstitutionalized US population aged 50 years and older was calculated using lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD data collected in NHANES 2005–2010. The NHANES is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, to assess the health and nutritional status of a representative sample of the noninstitutionalized, civilian US population. BMD of the anterior-posterior lumbar spine and proximal femur was measured with Hologic QDR 4500A fan-beam dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) densitometers (Hologic, Inc., Bedford, MA, USA) in specially equipped mobile examination centers. Details of the NHANES BMD examination protocol have been published elsewhere.6–9

Osteoporosis and low bone mass were defined in the present study using the WHO criteria.10 Specifically, osteoporosis was defined as a T-score ≤−2.5 at either the femoral neck or the lumbar spine. Among those without osteoporosis, low bone mass was defined as those with T-scores between −1.0 and −2.5 at either skeletal site. As recommended by the WHO,11 the reference group for calculation of the scores at the femoral neck for both men and women consisted of 20 to 29 non-Hispanic white females from NHANES III.12 Because there is no internationally recommended reference group for the lumbar spine, the reference group for calculation of these scores at the lumbar spine consisted of 30-year-old white females from the DXA manufacturer reference database.13 These reference groups were used to calculate T-scores for all race/ethnic groups and for both sexes.

Osteoporosis and low bone mass prevalence estimates were determined for the total sample and by sex, race/ethnicity, and age decade. All prevalence estimates were adjusted to the age, sex, and race/ethnic distribution of the US population at the time of the 2010 Census using direct standardization except where noted. These adjustments were necessary to obtain counts that summed up as expected across all relevant categories. This was not always the case when crude prevalence estimates were used. For example, the sum of the age-specific counts for men aged 50 years and older and age-specific counts for women aged 50 years and older did not equal the sum of age-specific counts for both sexes aged 50 years and older when based on crude prevalence estimates. This appeared to be attributable to differential missing data by age, sex, and race/ethnicity that occurred in the NHANES BMD sample, in part because the age distribution of the population has changed slightly between the midpoint of the combined NHANES survey cycles used in our analyses (2005–2010) and the 2010 Census, and in part because the 2010 Census counts included institutionalized persons, whereas NHANES 2005–2010 was based on the noninstitutionalized population. Specifically, the proportion of the 2010 population aged 50 years and older falling into 30 age decade, sex, and race/ethnic subgroups was calculated and the resulting proportions were used as standard weights in the analyses.

The age categories used were: 50 to 59, 60 to 69, 70 to 79, and 80+ years, and the race/ethnic categories were non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Mexican American, and other races. Estimates by race/ethnicity are presented separately for non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks, and Mexican Americans because NHANES 2005–2010 provides reliable estimates for these three groups.14 Although estimates are not presented separately for those identified as other racial/ethnic groups, they were included in the estimates for the total population.

The NHANES data were analyzed with PC-SAS (version 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and SUDAAN (version 10.0, Research Triangle Institute, NC, USA). All analyses used sample weights and took into account the complex design of the survey when calculating standard errors. All procedures in NHANES 2005–2010 were approved by the NCHS Research Ethics Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Estimating the number of adults with osteoporosis and low bone mass in 2010

We used the 2010 Census data for the total population (noninstitutionalized and institutionalized) from www.census.gov to obtain the total number of adults aged 50 years and older residing in the US and by state. To determine the overall number of men and women with osteoporosis and low bone mass, we multiplied the age-, sex-, and race/ethnic-adjusted prevalence estimates for the total noninstitutionalized population from NHANES 2005–2010 by the corresponding Census counts for the overall population for the entire nation and by individual state. Similarly, we multiplied the adjusted age-, sex-, and race/ethnic-specific NHANES prevalence estimates to the corresponding Census counts for each demographic subgroup. We also applied the NHANES 2005–2010 adjusted prevalence estimates to the projected population counts from the Census for 2020 and 203013 to estimate the future clinical burden of osteoporosis and low bone mass. State-based estimates of osteoporosis and low bone mass by sex, age, and race/ethnicity are presented in Supplemental Tables S1 to S4.

Sensitivity analyses

To address previously mentioned definitions of osteoporosis and low bone mass by other international groups, we conducted two sensitivity analyses. For the first, we estimated the crude prevalence and corresponding counts of osteoporosis and low bone mass based only on femoral neck in NHANES 2005–2010 to be able to compare them with the estimates based on crude prevalence estimates published by NOF in 2002.1 For the second sensitivity analysis, we evaluated how the addition of the total hip as a site affected the overall age-, sex-, and race/ethnic-adjusted prevalence and number of people with osteoporosis and low bone mass.

Our secondary analysis of NHANES and Census data was reviewed by the University of Alabama at Birmingham’s Institutional Review Board, and was deemed non-Human Subjects research.

Results

Table 1 shows the estimated 2010 US Census population estimates, the NHANES 2005–2010 adjusted prevalence of
osteoporosis and low bone mass among noninstitutionalized adults aged 50 years and older, and the corresponding estimated number of persons with osteoporosis and low bone mass for the total population in 2010 as well as by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. Based on the overall adjusted prevalence estimates from NHANES, we determined that 10.2 million adults had osteoporosis and 43.4 million had low bone mass in 2010 (Table 1). More women than men had both osteoporosis and low bone mass. An estimated 8.2 million women and 2.0 million men had osteoporosis, and an additional 27.3 million women and 16.1 million men had low bone mass.

Age and race/ethnic patterns in the counts of people with osteoporosis or low bone mass did not always coincide with age or race/ethnic patterns in the prevalence estimates in Table 1. This is because the count is a function of both the prevalence and the population distribution by age or race/ethnicity. In men, for example, the prevalence of osteoporosis was higher in men 80+ (10.9%) compared with men aged 50 to 59 years (3.4%); however, because of the decrease in male population counts by age, the estimated number of men with osteoporosis was lower by age: 0.7 million in the 50 to 59 years group and 0.4 million in the 80+ years group. Another example is the much smaller number of Mexican Americans with osteoporosis (0.6 million) than non-Hispanic whites (7.5 million) with osteoporosis because of the much smaller proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who are Mexican American.

When the adjusted prevalence estimates of osteoporosis and low bone mass from NHANES 2005–2010 were applied to the 2020 and 2030 Census population projections, we estimated that the number of adults aged 50 years and older with osteoporosis or low bone mass at either the femoral neck or lumbar spine will increase by 10.4 million (19%) from 2010 to 2020 and by 17.2

### Table 1. Estimates of the Number of US Residents With Osteoporosis and Low Bone Mass at Either the Femoral Neck or Lumbar Spine in 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>OP prevalencea</th>
<th>OP n (95% CI)b</th>
<th>LBM prevalencea</th>
<th>LBM n (95% CI)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>% (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td>% (SE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>99,048,838</td>
<td>10.3 (0.37)</td>
<td>10.2 (9.4, 10.9)</td>
<td>43.9 (0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>41,962,930</td>
<td>5.1 (0.60)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.7, 2.6)</td>
<td>40.2 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>29,253,187</td>
<td>8.0 (0.87)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.8, 2.8)</td>
<td>43.6 (1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>16,595,961</td>
<td>16.4 (0.94)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.4, 3.0)</td>
<td>47.3 (1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>11,236,760</td>
<td>26.2 (1.59)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.6, 3.4)</td>
<td>52.9 (2.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicityc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white</td>
<td>75,272,609</td>
<td>10.2 (0.47)</td>
<td>7.7 (7.0, 8.4)</td>
<td>44.9 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black</td>
<td>9,830,977</td>
<td>4.9 (0.65)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4, 0.6)</td>
<td>29.7 (1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>4,595,535</td>
<td>13.4 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5, 0.7)</td>
<td>43.2 (1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>53,151,456</td>
<td>15.4 (0.63)</td>
<td>8.2 (7.5, 8.9)</td>
<td>51.4 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>21,506,008</td>
<td>6.8 (0.83)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1, 1.8)</td>
<td>49.3 (1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>15,323,140</td>
<td>12.3 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.5, 2.3)</td>
<td>53.4 (1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>9,169,601</td>
<td>25.7 (1.56)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.1, 2.6)</td>
<td>51.8 (1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>7,152,707</td>
<td>34.9 (2.44)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.2, 2.8)</td>
<td>52.7 (3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicityc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white</td>
<td>40,089,289</td>
<td>15.8 (0.81)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.7, 7.0)</td>
<td>52.6 (1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black</td>
<td>5,534,149</td>
<td>7.7 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3, 0.5)</td>
<td>36.2 (2.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>2,371,091</td>
<td>20.4 (1.70)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4, 0.6)</td>
<td>47.8 (2.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>45,897,382</td>
<td>4.3 (0.40)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.6, 2.3)</td>
<td>35.2 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>20,456,922</td>
<td>3.4 (0.68)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4, 1.0)</td>
<td>30.7 (1.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>13,930,047</td>
<td>3.3 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.7)</td>
<td>32.9 (1.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>7,426,360</td>
<td>5.0 (0.78)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3, 0.5)</td>
<td>41.8 (2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>4,084,053</td>
<td>10.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3, 0.6)</td>
<td>53.1 (2.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicityc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white</td>
<td>35,183,320</td>
<td>3.9 (0.39)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1, 1.6)</td>
<td>36.0 (1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black</td>
<td>4,296,828</td>
<td>1.3* (0.40)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.02, 0.1)</td>
<td>21.3 (1.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>2,224,444</td>
<td>5.9 (1.08)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1, 0.2)</td>
<td>38.3 (2.55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OP = osteoporosis; LBM = low bone mass; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; NH = non-Hispanic.

*aPrevalence from NHANES 2005–2010 has been adjusted to the age, sex, and race/ethnic distribution of the US population at the time of the 2010 Census using the direct method.

*bCount expressed in millions.

*cOther races not shown separately.

*Might be statistically unreliable; standard error/percent is 31% to 39% or sample size is < 30.
million (32%) from 2010 to 2030 (Fig. 1). We observed similar percentage increases in the number of individuals with osteoporosis alone between 2010, 2020, and 2030.

Sensitivity analyses

For the first sensitivity analysis using unadjusted prevalence estimates for femoral neck measurements alone from NHANES 2005–2010, we estimated that in 2010, 4.0 and 25.6 million women and 0.7 and 13.4 million men had osteoporosis and low bone mass, respectively. The number of adults with osteoporosis and low bone mass was lower when using femoral neck alone compared with our main results with femoral neck and lumbar spine. When compared with results from the 2002 NOF report, which were based on unadjusted prevalence estimates for the femoral neck, there was an apparent decrease in the number of women and men aged 50 years and older with osteoporosis at the femoral neck (women: −3.7 million [−48%]; men: −1.6 million [−70%] between 2000 and 2010). However, there was an increase in the number with low bone mass (women: +3.8 million [17%]; men: +1.6 million [14%]). When osteoporosis and low bone mass were considered together, no change was observed between the two reports (Table 2).

For the second sensitivity analysis, we determined the impact of including the total hip in the definition of osteoporosis and low bone mass. Including the total hip increased the adjusted prevalence of osteoporosis in 2010 among both sexes by 0.4 percentage units and the prevalence of low bone mass by 0.6 percentage units. This increased the number of adults with osteoporosis by 0.8 million and the number with low bone mass by 0.5 million. We observed overlapping confidence intervals between the prevalence estimates with the two sites (femoral neck and lumbar spine) and the prevalence estimates with the three sites, indicating no difference in the two prevalence estimates.

Table 2. Absolute and Relative Difference in the Clinical Burden of Osteoporosis and Low Bone Mass at the Femoral Neck Only in 2002 and 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBM</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP + LBM</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diff = difference; OP = osteoporosis; LBM = low bone mass.
*Number in millions based on crude prevalence.
We estimated that among adults aged 50 years and older, 10.3% or 10.2 million had osteoporosis at the femoral neck or lumbar spine and 43.9% or 43.4 million had low bone mass at either skeletal site in 2010. When combined, the estimated number of adults with osteoporosis and low bone mass was 53.6 million, representing approximately 54% of the US adult population aged 50 years and older.

Similar to previous studies, we found that the prevalence of osteoporosis increased with age, and differed by sex, race, and ethnicity. The pattern of estimated counts of people with osteoporosis by these demographic characteristics did not always match the pattern of prevalence estimates because the subgroups with the highest prevalence made up a smaller proportion of the total population than subgroups with lower prevalence estimates. For example, the prevalence of osteoporosis was three times higher in men over age 80 years than in men aged 50 to 59 years. However, the number of men with osteoporosis was 41% lower because there are far fewer men over age 80 years in the population.

Non-Hispanic blacks had the lowest prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass at either of these two skeletal sites of the three race/ethnic groups compared in our analyses, a result that is consistent with previous results from NHANES as well as other cohort-based studies. Compared with the other two groups, Mexican Americans had a higher prevalence of osteoporosis in the present study, a finding that has been made previously when age-adjusted prevalence estimates of osteoporosis were examined. Differences in prevalence between Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites depend on the skeletal site being considered. Past studies have found a similar or lower prevalence of osteoporosis among Mexican Americans than non-Hispanic whites at the femoral neck but higher prevalence estimates in Mexican Americans at other skeletal sites such as the spine.

The WHO Osteoporosis Working Group and other international osteoporosis organizations have stated that the femoral neck is the only site that should be used in the estimation of osteoporosis prevalence at a population level. However, an objective of the present study was to estimate the number of people with both osteoporosis and low bone mass prevalence in 2010. This analysis was done because the NHANES data sets that were used in these reports (NHANES III 1988–1994 and NHANES 2005–2010) Reasons for these changes have been explored previously. Specifically, Looker and colleagues explored the potential contribution of between-survey changes in 14 bone-related determinants (BMI, height, weight history, smoking, health status, dietary calcium, sodium, caffeine, alcohol intake, milk intake, personal and maternal fracture history, use of bone-enhancing and bone-reducing drugs) as well as use of different DXA systems (single-beam versus fan-beam) to the higher BMD values observed in NHANES 2005–2008. Femoral neck BMD differences between the two surveys varied by age, sex, and race/ethnicity, and the differences in several population subgroups fell in the range that could be attributed to use of different DXA systems. However, femoral neck BMD in older non-Hispanic white women remained significantly higher in 2005–2008 even after adjusting for DXA methodology or for the bone determinants that had changed in a manner that could lead to higher BMD (increased body size, greater use of osteoporosis treatments other than estrogen, higher calcium consumption, less smoking, and lower caffeine consumption). Thus, the reason for the change in femoral neck BMD between these surveys has not been conclusively identified for all population subgroups.

Our second sensitivity analyses examined the effect of considering BMD at the total hip in addition to femoral neck and lumbar spine when estimating osteoporosis and low bone mass prevalence in 2010. This analysis was done because the ISCD includes the use of this site as well as the femoral neck for the diagnosis of osteoporosis and low bone mass. Including the total hip in the definition of osteoporosis and low bone mass resulted in small, statistically insignificant increases in the estimates of the prevalence and number with osteoporosis and low bone mass. Thus, the addition of the total hip in the definitions does not appear to significantly increase the prevalence of osteoporosis or low bone mass found in our main analysis. This is not surprising, given that femoral neck T-scores were lower than total hip T-scores in 86% of adults aged 50 years and older in NHANES 2005–2010. Whether this would be the case when DXA instruments from manufacturers other than Hologic are used is not clear because the femur regions of interest vary between DXA manufacturers.

The main strength of our study is the use of prevalence estimates of osteoporosis and low bone mass from nationally representative data collected in NHANES, which were applied to US Census population data to estimate the recent and future number of older individuals with both osteoporosis and low bone mass. Although nationally based, the study has some limitations. The study focused on estimates of osteoporosis as defined by BMD. However, fragility fracture constitutes the primary clinical burden associated with osteoporosis and low bone mass, and individuals who have sustained a fragility fracture, particularly hip or spine, without having osteoporosis T-score thresholds, would clinically be considered as having osteoporosis and would warrant treatment. The burden of osteoporosis and would warrant treatment.
fragility fracture is considerable. For example, data from the Women’s Health Initiative showed that fracture incidence among non-black women over a 1-year period was greater than the incidence of invasive breast cancer or cardiovascular disease events combined.(33) It has been observed that many women sustaining a fracture fall within the low bone mass range.(36) However, fractures were not included in the definition of osteoporosis used in the present study because the validity of the self-reported fracture data available in NHANES was uncertain for non-hip skeletal sites. As a result, our estimates of osteoporosis may be an underestimate of the true clinical burden of osteoporosis in the US population. Likewise, we may be underestimating the number of people who warrant treatment because clinical decisions regarding treatment include consideration of other risk factors. For example, based on cost-effectiveness considerations, treatment thresholds have been proposed using FRAX scores in the NOF treatment guidelines.(2)

Other limitations include application of the NHANES prevalence estimates for the noninstitutionalized US population to the 2010 Census estimates of the total US population, which includes institutionalized individuals. The impact of our assumption that the prevalence estimates for the noninstitutionalized population apply to the total population, including institutionalized persons, is not clear. Studies in North America have shown that the prevalence of osteoporosis in nursing homes, one example of an institutionalized population, range from 13% to 51%.[37–41] Use of the osteoporosis and low bone mass prevalence estimates from the noninstitutionalized participants of NHANES could have led to an underestimate of the true prevalence in the total population. However, the magnitude of this bias may be modest, given that the institutionalized population is a small proportion of the overall 50 years and older population in the US.(42)

Although all races and ethnic groups were included in the estimates for the total population, we were not able to estimate the specific prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in Asians or Hispanic groups other than Mexican Americans, as NHANES 2005–2010 can provide estimates by race or ethnicity only for non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks, and Mexican Americans.[4] Although Mexican Americans make up 54% of the Hispanic population, the prevalence of osteoporosis and related fractures varies by Hispanic origin.[43] Our estimates for Mexican Americans may not apply to other Hispanic groups.

Estimates of the future prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass were based on the assumption that prevalence estimates from 2005–2010 reflect the future prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass. However, the crude prevalence of low femoral neck osteoporosis and low bone mass appear to have declined in the US in the past two decades.[33] If the prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass continues to decline, our projections of the number of people with osteoporosis will be overestimated. Finally, we also assumed that the national estimates of osteoporosis and low bone mass reflected the prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in individual states when calculating the estimated number of affected persons by state shown in the Supplemental Tables.

Osteoporosis and low bone mass combined affected approximately 53.6 million (54%) older US adults in 2010. The estimated number varied by sex and race/ethnicity, with women and non-Hispanic whites having the largest counts. The patterns of osteoporosis and low bone mass counts by age also varied between men and women. The large number of individuals with osteoporosis and low bone mass underscores the continued importance of addressing bone health in the older population to reduce the clinical burden caused by fragility fracture.
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